Almonds Tough on Some Bees More on Pesticides U. S. Honey Survey AHBs More Active Ag Labor on Web Forklift 21st Century Apiculture

Almonds Tough on Some Bees

A number of beekeepers contacted me at the end of the almond bloom to find out if I had any ideas about what happened to their bees. One beekeeper sent me a comb, so that I could have a good look.

The comb contained a band of brood that was not emerging normally. A number of worker bees had chewed through their cappings but were unable to pull themselves out of the cells. Many had died with their tongues extended fully.

Approximately half of the dead bees appeared to be nearly normal, but light in color. The other half had poorly developed or undeveloped wings. The aberrant bees reminded me of buckeye poisoning, but it was way too early for buckeye.

Right, or wrong, the cause that comes to mind is the fungicide Captan®. After years of complaints by beekeepers, Larry Atkins tested the effects of various doses of Captan on larval bees. He found that higher doses were acutely toxic to larvae. Lesser doses lead to "morphogenic effects" ("...adults that survived were light in colour, and of light weight, and often had deformed wings or no wings.") The report also stated normal application doses of Captan "...would be expected to affect as much as 33-41% of the brood...".

Before jumping to conclusions about Captan, it must be stated that results of testing pesticides up to 1986 implicated five other materials that also cause this effect. Dimethoate, oxamyl, malathion, carbaryl and an experimental formulation are included. However, the brood effects are not likely to be seen if the adult bees turn out to be more susceptible to the poison than the brood. This is the case with dimethoate. This information, and much more can be found in the Journal of Apicultural Research 25(4):242-255 (1986).

The 1996-97 winter was very different from "normal." It stayed relatively warm and a season's worth of precipitation fell in a month or two. Flooding was severe in some areas. Almonds began blooming in late January. Dormant and delayed dormant orchard sprays were applied after the arrival of bees in the area, or skipped for a year. Fortunately, the weather was quite nice during almond bloom and the potential crop looks pretty good.

Probably, by now, the bees have recovered and it seems like there never was much of a problem. But each of these "minor setbacks" takes its toll on the bees. There aren't quite as many bees for honey production or crop pollination; colonies have to be equalized; ability to cope with diseases, parasites and future exposures to poisons is diminished, and the beekeeper is impacted negatively by each of these problems.

Dr. Rich Sexton, UCD
Professor of Agricultural and
Resource Economics, predicted
that bearing acres of almond will
increase steadily from 425,000 in
1997 to 525,000 in the year 2000.
If bee-keepers are going to be
expected to supply the
approximately 1.05 million
colonies of honey bees to
pollinate that crop, more
attention needs to be paid to
protecting them from exposure to
bee-toxic pesticides.

More on Pesticides

Changes in federal legislation are going to change an important part of the pesticide use practices in the U.S. Up to now, when an unanticipated explosion of a pest

population or a problem with pests on a "minor" crop became problematic, requests could be made for "emergency exemptions" from certain parts of the drawn out registration process to obtain use of a pesticide. "Section 18" registrations were temporary. Some of these registrations expire, but many are converted to "Section 3" registrations and the products remain in use. Under the old system, EPA did not establish tolerances for residues in food resulting from these uses, because other residue data was available and thought to be adequate.

The new Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) requires that all pesticide uses be examined for their implications to the total pesticide load in foods, before any use is allowed. The idea behind this is that there is an upper level for all pesticides that may get into our Thus, the new use is added diet. to the old uses. If a person might get too much in his or her diet, the new use will not be allowed. This will cause problems for minor use registrations, in particular. Manufacturers may be approaching the limit for their products, and wish to be very selective about what other commodities should be treated. They probably wouldn't want to remove a major crop from the label in order to add a minor crop. Also, regulatory personnel have stated that they see very little difference, now, between an emergency and normal registration (time consuming) process. Remember, we might wish to get an emergency use of an antibiotic or mite control substance for our bees. It will impact us, too.

Apple thinning - One of the frustrations for beekeepers who rent honey bees for apple pollination is that growers want to thin some orchards while other trees still need bees. Since carbaryl (Sevin®) is used at beetoxic doses for thinning, bee losses can be significant. following information was developed by Joseph Grant, Warren Micke, Scott Johnson and Michael Devencenzi and distributed to apple growers by the University. Their cautions about bee toxicity are accurate, but not necessarily followed.

Suggestions for chemical thinning granny smith, fuji, gala apples in the northern San Joaquin valley

There is a certain amount of both art and science involved in successful use of chemical thinners on apples. This is mainly due to the many factors that can influence the response of trees to applied chemicals. These include (but are not limited to):

Weather before application-Cool, wet conditions may pre-

condition leaves for greater
 absorption of thinning
agents,

leading to increased activity.

Weather during/after application - Cool, wet or humid weather prolongs drying, giving greater activity.

High temperatures following application, particularly if they follow cool periods,

can

cause mild tree stress and tend to increase thinning activity of some chemicals. Tree Condition - Stress from any source (low nitrogen, lack

of water, root damage, heavy crop in previous year, shading

within canopy, etc.) may increase thinning response

applied chemicals.

to

Tree Vigor - Young, excessively vigorous trees are easier to thin than older, moderately vigorous trees.

The rates and timing discussed are those which have given the most acceptable and consistent results in field trials to date. They are provided merely as suggested guidelines for growers who wish to begin accumulating experience in using chemical thinning as a management tool.

Careful timing of spray application is important if predictable and acceptable results are to be expected.

Rates given pertain to $\underline{\text{dilute}}$ applications only (200-400 gallons/

acre). We do not have field experience with applications below 200 gallons/acre, and can not suggest their use at this time. Reports from other areas have indicated that concentrate applications yield less consistent results than dilute applications. To prevent overthinning in the lower portion of tree canopies, it may be helpful to adjust the spray pattern so as to reduce the volume of solution applied to this area.

<u>Warning</u>: In mixed variety blocks, take precautions to prevent drift of applied chemical thinners to non-target varieties. Spray drift from applications

made to hard-to-thin varieties such as Fuji are likely to overthin Gala and Granny Smith.

GRANNY SMITH

The best and most consistent results to date have been achieved using a single application of Sevin XLR Plus® (carbaryl) at 0.75-2.0 pound active ingredient per acre, applied when the largest fruit are 10 to 15 mm (3/8" to 9/16") in diameter.

Where bloom is moderate or environmental conditions are favorable for thinning, use the lower rate (0.75 lb a.i./acre) within the range specified. Use the higher rate where bloom is heavy or environmental conditions are less favorable for thinning.

GALA

The best thinning of Gala has been achieved with a single petal fall application of Sevin XLR Plus® at 1.5-2.25 lb a.i./acre + Amid-thin W® at 25 ppm.

Equally effective but less consistent thinning has been obtained with applications Sevin XLR Plus® (2.0-3.9 lb a.i./acre) at both petal fall and 10-15 mm largest fruit diameter.

Use lower rates within the ranges shown where bloom is moderate or environmental conditions are favorable for thinning. Use the higher rates where bloom is heavy or environmental conditions are less favorable for thinning. Follow-up hand thinning is usually required following these treatments.

FUJI

Of the three varieties discussed here, Fuji is the most difficult to thin with chemical. The best and most consistent experimental result have been

obtained by the following combination treatment: Sevin XLR Plus® (3.0 lb a.i./acre + Amidthin W® (50 ppm) + oil (2 quarts/acre) at petal fall, followed by Sevin XLR Plus® (3.0 a.i./acre) + oil (2 quarts/acre) when largest fruit are 10-15 mm in diameter.

Note on the use of oil: The oil used in these applications should be a light, summer type oil. Addition of oil enhances the thinning activity of Sevin. Spray oils are known to increase russetting of some russettingsusceptible varieties, but have not caused russetting in our tests on Fuji when used at the rate shown above. Oil may be omitted from the spray mixture, but less thinning should be expected. Do not apply pesticides which are incompatible with oil (e.g. sulfur, Captan®) within 30 days of oil applications.

This combination has <u>not</u> provided complete thinning of Fuji. Follow-up hand thinning is usually necessary.

Note: When used at the rates suggested above, carbaryl is toxic to honeybees if applied to bees during daytime while they are active in the orchard.

Remove colonies from the orchard before spraying, or spray at night to prevent direct application to foraging bees.

Once spray deposits are dry, the XLR Plus® and 4F formulations are less likely to be carried back to hives to by foraging workers than other formulations.

Switching the attention to cotton, there was a large, regional meeting on cotton pest control last week. Numerous "working papers" were submitted on controlling various cotton

pests. Basically, the reports say to follow the "Cotton Pest Management Guidelines" published by the University. You can get a copy at your county Cooperation Extension office, but I have reprinted the page that

includes the information beekeepers want most - effects of cotton insecticides and acaricides on honey bees. Be sure to register your apiary locations and request notification when bee-toxic materials are going to be used around your bees.

U.S. Honey Suvey

Currently the National Agricultural Statistics Service (USDA) is conducting, and publishing the results of, a producers' survey on honey production. About 6,100 honey producers spend an estimated 11 minutes each month filling out the survey. The information requested includes number of colonies, amount of production, honey stocks and current prices. Survey data is used to administer programs and set trade quotas and tariffs. University and state personnel also refer to the data. It is probable that the data also influences honey selling and purchasing prices.

The Federal Office of Manage-ment and Budget (OMB) has targeted this program for review in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Thus, to continue conducting the survey, we have to justify it's existence.

"Comments are invited on:(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the

quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, such as through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: Larry Gambrell, Agency OMB Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Room 4162 South Building, Washington, DC 20250-2000

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. Comments on this notice must be received by May 30, 1997 to be assured of consideration. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

AHBs More Active

Numbers of colonies of Africanized Honey bees (AHBs) being detected in southeastern California are on the rise. As this is being written, the CDFA has confirmed the 82nd colony found in California. The early part of this year has contributed heavily to the total, as we had only 38 reported at the end of 1996.

Why the increase? Maybe it is because there is a mass of AHBs south of our border that is moving our way undetected. The Mexican government gave up monitoring the expansion some time ago. But, I am inclined to think that Varroa mite (or lack thereof) is the primary factor.

Varroa mites were extremely prevalent in 1995 and 1996. Beekeepers would barely get their

colonies treated and they would be full of mites, again. Changes began in 1996, when researchers actually had to solicit help from beekeepers in finding mites for research projects. This year, most beekeepers feel that they have <u>Varroa</u> pretty well under control (including those who think that they may be rearing resistant stock or have found the ideal treatment).

Feral honey bee colonies are beginning to last a full year, instead of being overwhelmed by Varroa before October. But, this is a drastic change, since a year earlier when most people across the country felt that feral bees had nearly been eliminated by Varroa. So, what bees will fill in the void? In southern California, it very likely will be Africanized honey bees.

Given their propensity for frequent swarming and their ability to eke out an existence on limited resources, AHBs should be able to move into the nesting sites formerly occupied by bees of European lineage and do quite well. When Varroa levels were really high, even AHBs had trouble staying ahead of them. Now that they have to deal only with mite populations in their own colonies, AHBs should be able to build up and swarm with light infestations not bothering them that much.

We haven't noted much range expansion with AHBs in southern California, but the numbers indicate a higher population density than before. Also, the presence of AHBs on the Anza Borrego Desert State Park suggest that it will not be too surprising to find AHBs west of the Laguna Mountains before long.

Ag Labor on Web

Wondering about legal and government references dealing with labor management? Want to know more about employee recruitment and selection, supervision, farm work place safety, wages, and incentive pay, discipline, interpersonal relations on the job, or labor law?

All this, and much more, is available on the World Wide Web from U.C. Simply type in http://are.berkeley.edu/APMP/ and you find yourself in a huge information base. Some of the materials are written in Spanish, and teaching materials are prepared for downloading to slides or overheads. This site definitely is worth visiting.

Forklift

Considering the purchase of a forklift for your beekeeping operation? A company in Colorado, Quality Corporation, would like to share their information on Donkey, Truck Carried Forklifts. They have a couple models, but the Bee lift weighs 2,500 lbs., can lift up to 2,000 lbs. as high as 10 feet, 9 inches, and clamps itself to the flatbed, up off the road, for travel.

The Donkey is a three wheel forklift that maxes out at 15 MPH. Especially for beekeeping, it has a 2 stage mast and adjustable forks and side shift.

For more information, contact: High Trak Equipment, 4238 Lozano Lane, Suisun, CA 94585 [(707)426-9780].

21 Century Apiculture

Dr. Roger Hoopingarner, apiculturist at Michigan State University, recently retired from the institution. In recognition of that retirement, the Kellog Center is hosting an international symposium on "Apiculture for the 21st Century." The program covers two days, Friday and Saturday, June 27 and 28, 1997. The program is divided into four sessions: Genetics of Honey Bee, Behavior and Pheromones of Honey Bees, Dynamics of Honey Bee Populations, and Parasitic Mites of Honey Bees. Nineteen speakers from the U.S., Mexico, Canada, Germany, Italy and Brazil will take part in the program.

Briefly, conference expenses are: registration (with

Eric Mussen
Entomology Extension
University of California
Davis, CA 95616`

proceedings) - \$60; Friday
luncheon - \$13; Saturday banquet
- \$20; single or double room in
Kellog Center - \$69 per night.
Registration is being handled by:
 Linda Gallagher
 Department of Entomology
 243 Natural Science
 Michigan State University
 East Lansing, MI 48824-1115
 (517)699-2428
The Kellog Center can be reached

The Kellog Center can be reached at 1-800-875-5090.

Sincerely,

Eric Mussen
Entomology Extension
University of California
Davis, CA 95616 [(916) 752-0472]
[FAX (916) 752-1537]
INTERNET: ECMUSSEN@UCDAVIS.EDU